



CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD – PERFORMANCE REPORT

NOVEMBER 2011

CONTEXT

The purpose of this report is to provide the Board with the most up to date performance overview performance information in relation to Children in Care and to highlight results as of September/Q2 2011.

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The tables below show performance against key monthly and quarterly Children in Care measures. Performance for September/Q2 is shown along with the previous year's targets and year end out-turn. Targets for 2011/12 are currently being agreed by senior management and will be brought to the next Corporate Parenting Board for final approval. Statistical Neighbour comparisons for 2010/11 have been updated following the recent publication of benchmarking statistics.

Performance against key **monthly** measures is listed below:

NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Statistical Neighbour (10/11)	Outturn 10/11	Target (10/11)	Apr 2011	May 2011	Jun 2011	Q1	Jul 2011	Aug 2011	Sep 2011	Q2	Year to Date
CSS101(a)	Number of Children in Care	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	737	519	480	535	537	546		538	546	540		
CSS101(b)	Rate per 10,000 of Children in Care	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	101	92.8	85.8	95.7	96.1	97.7		96.2	97.7	96.6		
CSS114	Number of Admissions to Care	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	256	-	29	14	22	65	11	24	17	49	122
CSS115	Number of Discharges from Looked After	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	251	-	19	6	9	40	16	12	20	53	104

The majority of data in the report is obtained from CareFirst. CareFirst is a live database, as such the information is subject to change and fluctuations are not uncommon, this is due to records being amended, added or removed. As a consequence, the data presented in this report only represents a snapshot of the performance picture for the month that the report was run. Previous monthly performance figures are not re-calculated, therefore the monthly figures may not always equal the year-to-date totals.



NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Statistical Neighbour (10/11)	Outturn 10/11	Target (10/11)	Apr 2011	May 2011	Jun 2011	Q1	Jul 2011	Aug 2011	Sep 2011	Q2	Year to Date
CSS147	Representation BME children CiC to BME population	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	10.2%	-	11.5%	12.1%	11.8%		12.4%	12.6%	11.1%		
NI63	Stability of placements of Children in Care: length of placement	Joy Chambers	65.4%	62.3%	67.0%	66.9%	68.9%	66.3%	66.3%	69.6%	68.4%	71.1%	71.1%	71.1%
NI66	Children in Care cases which were reviewed within required timescales	Dorne Collinson	86.0%	85.0%	90.0%	98.7%	98.0%	97.3%	97.3%	97.4%	97.8%	97.9%	99.0%	97.9%
PAF C63	Participation in Reviews	Dorne Collinson	Unpublished	71.1%	85.0%	78.3%	79.1%	76.6%	76.6%	75.8%	76.3%	75.0%	83.3%	75.0%
CSS158	% of Children in Care for 3 months or more with an up-to-date health assessment	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	84.0%	80.0%	81.4%	74.7%	77.2%		76.9%	74.9%	76.8%		
CSS159	% of Children in Care after for 3 months or more with an up-to-date dental check	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	87.0%	80.0%	90.3%	90.2%	87.0%		82.5%	80.4%	79.6%		
CSS160	% of Children in Care after for 3 months or more with an up-to-date SDQ	Helen Blackman / Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	54.8%	100.0%	60.9%	64.0%	58.9%		59.3%	57.2%	55.9%		
CSS153	% CiC with a completed PEP	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	91.0%	100.0%	90.0%	91.0%	88.0%		93.0%	Not available	93.0%		

The majority of data in the report is obtained from CareFirst. CareFirst is a live database, as such the information is subject to change and fluctuations are not uncommon, this is due to records being amended, added or removed. As a consequence, the data presented in this report only represents a snapshot of the performance picture for the month that the report was run. Previous monthly performance figures are not re-calculated, therefore the monthly figures may not always equal the year-to-date totals.



NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Statistical Neighbour (10/11)	Outturn 10/11	Target (10/11)	Apr 2011	May 2011	Jun 2011	Q1	Jul 2011	Aug 2011	Sep 2011	Q2	Year to Date
CSS151	% Care Leavers with Pathway Plans	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	Internal measure	Not available	100.0%	86.1%	90.3%	93.2%		92.9%	94.8%	Not available		
CSS155	% CiC allocated to a named social worker (New)	Helen Blackman	Internal measure	99.6%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Performance against key **quarterly** measures is listed below:

NI/Local Code	Short Name	Responsible Officer	Statistical Neighbour (10/11)	Outturn 10/11	Target (10/11)	Q1	Q2
PAF C23	Adoptions of CiC (including SGO's)	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	13.0%	10.4%	13.0%	1.1%	2.7%
NI61	Timeliness of placements of Children in Care for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	68.5%	93.3%	75.0%	33.3%	62.5%
NI62	Stability of placements of Children in Care: number of moves (based on rolling 12 months)	Joy Chambers	11.2%	10.0%	12.0%	9.2%	9.8%
NI147	Care leavers in suitable accommodation	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	88.8%	82.0%	100.0%	81.8%	78.3%
NI148	Care leavers in employment, education or training	Paulette Thompson-Omenka	58.0%	54.1%	95.0%	63.6%	65.2%

The majority of data in the report is obtained from CareFirst. CareFirst is a live database, as such the information is subject to change and fluctuations are not uncommon, this is due to records being amended, added or removed. As a consequence, the data presented in this report only represents a snapshot of the performance picture for the month that the report was run. Previous monthly performance figures are not re-calculated, therefore the monthly figures may not always equal the year-to-date totals.



Whilst performance in many areas has improved, significant focus is being placed on areas where performance is weaker. Robust action plans, monitored by senior management, are being implemented to drive performance improvements.

The majority of data in the report is obtained from CareFirst. CareFirst is a live database, as such the information is subject to change and fluctuations are not uncommon, this is due to records being amended, added or removed. As a consequence, the data presented in this report only represents a snapshot of the performance picture for the month that the report was run. Previous monthly performance figures are not re-calculated, therefore the monthly figures may not always equal the year-to-date totals.